

Owerko Centre Conference

Trainee Poster Presentation Score Sheet

We recommend that the trainee provide a 2-3-minute overview of their abstract (3-minute thesis style), followed by one to two questions asked by the judge. This ensures fairness between participant assessments and places an emphasis on concise communication.

Judge: _____

Poster #: _____ Presenter: _____

Please rate posters on the following criteria:

CRITERIA	Mark out of 10, with 10 being best
1. Oral Presentation: enthusiasm and ability to capture the audience’s attention; speaker provided a clear and logical presentation, avoided scientific jargon	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2. Poster Content and Quality: strong material, well summarized; background, method/analysis, results/conclusions are clearly stated	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
3. Poster Appearance, Clarity and Organization: visually appealing poster, strongly effective presentation. Layout of the poster is logical. Poster is easy to read.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
4. Response to Questions: presenter conveyed a sense of confidence when answering questions	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
5. Overall Impression	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
TOTAL SCORE	/50

NOTE: In case of a tie, greatest emphasis will be placed on *Oral Presentation*, followed by *Poster Content and Quality*, *Poster Appearance*, and then *Responses to Questions*.

Please bring tallied score sheets to the registration table in the HRIC atrium. Thank you!